

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/800,266 03/05/2001		Robert L. Bratzler	C1037/7017 (HCL/MAT)	3753		
23628	7590 04/09/2002					
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			EXAMINER			
600 ATLAN	ESERVE PLAZA FIC AVENUE		ANGELL	, JON E		
BOSTON, M	A 02210-2211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1635	<i>₽</i>		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	. 0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/800,266			BRATZLER ET AL.				
		Examiner			Art Unit				
		J. Eric Angel			1635	10-00			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	11110 4011011 10 1 11 10 1	nis action is no							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17,21,31 and 36 is/are pending in	the applicatio	II. iderati	ion					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	WII HOIH COHS	lucial	ЮП.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-17,21,31 and 36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) o	biected	d to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be noted in absolute to the drawing of the drawing to the drawing of the drawi									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
1	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage     application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14)[🗆	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	4)	Interview Summ Notice of Inform Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper nal Patent Application	No(s) · (PTO-152)			
					_				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/800,266

Art Unit: 1635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-12, 21, 31 and 36 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-12 and 31, drawn to a method of cancer treatment/prevention using a nucleic acid, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
  - II. Claim 21, drawn to method of preventing an allergic reaction using an immunostimulatory nucleic acid, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
  - III. Claim 36, drawn to a device for delivering an immunostimulatory nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions are unrelated methods because Invention I is drawn to preventing cancer, while Invention II is drawn to a method for preventing an allergic reaction. The instant inventions are unrelated because the have different functions and effects (i.e. preventing cancer vs. preventing allergic reaction), even though the Inventions both involve the administration of nucleic acid molecule.
- 3. Inventions I and II are unrelated to Invention III. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the

Application/Control Number: 09/800,266

Art Unit: 1635

instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, functions and effects. For instance, Inventions I and II are methods for preventing cancer and allergic reactions while Invention III is a device for delivering a nucleic acid to a subject. The functions/effects of Inventions I and II are to prevent cancer and allergic reactions, respectively, while the function of Invention III is to deliver a nucleic acid to a subject.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for Groups I-III are not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. For instance, the search required for Group I includes a search of the field cancer, while the search required for Group II includes a search of the field of allergic reactions, and the search required for Group III includes a search of devices for delivering nucleic acid to a subject.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Currently, Invention I is generic,

Species of chemotherapeutic agents (listed in claim 3, must chose <u>one</u> agent)

Species of immunotherapeutic agents (listed in claim 4, must chose <u>one</u> agent)

Species of cancer vaccines (listed in claim 5, must chose <u>one</u> cancer vaccine)

Species of hormone therapies (listed in claim, must chose <u>one</u> hormone therapy)

Application/Control Number: 09/800,266

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1635

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Eric Angell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

J. Eric Angell April 7, 2002

JEFFREY FREDMAN